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Introduction

- Summer 2011
- Statewide wetland study as part of NWCA
- Nutrient levels
  - May reflect human activities
  - Are highly influenced by runoff from adjacent lands
  - Can drive changes in plant communities
  - Can alter wetland function
Objectives

1) Compare biomass of different plant types
2) Compare floristic quality between landscape positions and surrounding land uses
3) Compare plant C:N, P, and N:P of different plant types, landscape positions, and surrounding land use
4) Correlate plant P with floristic quality and cattail biomass
5) Correlate soil P with cattail biomass
Site Locations

• 55 wetlands statewide
Plant Sampling Methods

• Plant samples collected at 3 landscape positions
  • Upland, wet meadow, shallow marsh
  • Five 0.25 m\(^2\) quadrats clipped
  • Plant types: cattails, grasses & grass-likes, forbs & shrubs
• Plant samples analyzed for P, N, C
Soil Sampling Methods

• Soil samples collected at 3 landscape positions
  • Upland, wet meadow, shallow marsh
  • Three 500 g soil cores collected at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm depths
  • Soil samples analyzed for P
  • Olsen and water soluble extractions
Floristic Quality

- List of all plant species
- Floristic quality calculated using the Floristic Quality Index (FQI) developed for the Dakotas
- Species assigned c-value based on tolerance to disturbance
- FQI = average c-value multiplied by the square root of the total number of species
Statistics

• Multi-response Permutation Procedures (MRPP)
  • Plant type (cattails, grasses & grass-likes, forbs & shrubs)
    • Biomass and plant C:N, P, N:P
  • Landscape position (shallow marsh, wet meadow, upland)
    • FQI scores and plant C:N, P, N:P
  • Land use (cropland, grazed/hayed, idle)
    • FQI scores and plant C:N, P, N:P

• Linear regressions
  • FQI scores & plant P
  • Cattail biomass & shallow marsh plant P
Results: Plant Type

- MRPP with Bonferroni correction

C = cattails, SM = shallow marsh, WM = wet meadow, UP = upland, FS = forbs & shrubs, GG = grasses & grass-likes
Results: Landscape Position

- SM = shallow marsh
- WM = wet meadow
- UP = upland
- MRPP with Bonferroni correction
- Plant C:N, N:P, P not significantly different
Results: Land Use

- MRPP with Bonferroni correction
- No significant differences for surrounding land use for plant C:N or P
Results: Linear Regressions

- Low R values
- Soil P was not correlated with cattail biomass
Results: Cattail Biomass & Soil P

- Conceptual models
- 4 “states”
  - Low soil P & low biomass
  - High soil P & low biomass
  - High soil P & high biomass
  - High soil P & low biomass
Conclusions

- Cattails and grasses & grass-likes tended to store the highest amounts of biomass and nutrients
- No differences in nutrients for landscape position
- Floristic quality lower in shallow marsh than wet meadow and upland
- Cattails store high levels of P; may reduce soil P
  - May affect nutrient cycling
  - Cattails remove soil P, senesce, release P back into wetland
Conclusions

• Cropped wetlands have lower FQI scores than other land uses
  • Reduced diversity and biological condition

• Cropped and grazed/hayed wetlands N-limited
  • Prairie landscape historically N-limited
  • Cropland may have severe N-limitation

• Idle wetlands P-limited
  • May be due to increased graminoid cover
  • May lead to declines in diversity
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