

An Improved Understanding of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Delivery: Results from Refined Regional SPARROW Models

By Joel M. Galloway


Dale M. Robertson¹, David A. Saad¹, Gregory E. Schwarz², and Richard A. Alexander²

U.S. Geological Survey,

¹Wisconsin Water Science Center; ²National Center, Reston

Approach - SPARROW Water-Quality Model -


<u>SPA</u>tially Referenced <u>Regression on Watershed Attributes</u> <u>http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/sparrow</u>




Mass Balance Model with spatially variable deliveries. Hybrid statistical/mechanistic process structure. Data-driven, nonlinear estimation of parameters

- Separates land and in-stream processes
- Predictions of mean-annual flux reflect long-term, net effects of nutrient supply and loss processes in watersheds
- Once calibrated, the model has physically interpretable coefficients; model supports hypothesis testing and uncertainty estimation


Watershed Modeling Continuum




MO/MSSP SPARROW Model Calibration




Delivered Incremental Yields Nitrogen Phosphorus



Ranking of State Contributions to the Gulf of Mexico from the MARB




Ranking of State Contributions to the Gulf of Mexico from the MARB




Regional Models part of the NAWQA Program






Relative Importance of Various Phosphorus Sources – Spatially Explicit



Percent of Source to Total Incremental Load

Binational SPARROW Model for the Upper Midwest



Methods to demonstrate results and help guide decisions > Nutrient Reduction Strategies

1. SPARROW Mapper –


Easy and simple way to get SPARROW results, especially by hydrologic and political boundaries.


http://wim.usgs.gov/SparrowMRB3/SparrowMRB3Mapper.html#


http://wim.usgs.gov/SparrowGL/SparrowGLMapper.html#

http://wim.usgs.gov/SparrowMARB/SparrowMARBMapper.html#


MARB SPARROW MAPPER










MRB3 SPARROW Mapper for Delivered P Yields – shown by Major Watershed









Methods to demonstrate results and help guide decisions

2. Decision Support System Scientists/Managers – Capable of using to visualize SPARROW output and run various scenarios.


http://cida.usgs.gov/sparrow/



SPARROW Decision Support System

Find a Model by Geographic Location:

Select a region or state. When a state is selected, all models containing that state are listed.



Find a Model by Modeled Constituent:

Any ▼

Models matching your criteria (click a model to show details)

Mississippi/Atchafalaya Basin Total Nitrogen Model - 2002

Mississippi/Atchafalaya Basin Total Phosphorus Model - 2002

National Suspended Sediment Model - 1992

National Total Nitrogen Model - 1992

National Total Organic Carbon Model

National Total Phosphorus Model - 1992

Total Nitrogen Model for the Great Lakes, Ohio, Upper Mississippi, and Souris-Red-Rainy Region - 2002

Total Nitrogen Model for the Missouri River Basin - 2002

Total Phosphorus Model for the Great Lakes, Ohio, Upper Mississippi, and Souris-Red-Rainy Region - 2002

Total Phosphorus Model for the Missouri River Basin - 2002

Documentation and Further Reading

- · What is SPARROW?
- · What is SPARROW Decision Support?
- SPARROW Applications & Documentation
- SPARROW DSS FAOs

Tutorial Videos

Select a video...

Watch now >>

Found a bug or have a comment?

Please send bugs, suggestions and questions to the SPARROW Decision Support System Administrator.

Selected Model

Mississippi/Atchafalaya Basin Total Phosphorus Model - 2002



Explore this model in the Decision Support System >>

Modeled Constituent: Phosphorus

Base Year: 2002

Stream Network: Enhanced River Reach File 2.0

Geometry and additional reach and network attribute data are available with the

stream nétwork data, which is available as a separate download.

Model Updates: View this model's updates

Watershed Based Sessions

To start the DSS with the outlet river reach of a major watershed selected for downstream tracking, select a watershed and click *Go*.


▼ Go >>


Scenario Based Sessions


To start the DSS with a predefined scenario, click on the link for one of the scenarios below.














Total Phosphorus Load by Source (kg@year@1)

Model Source Inputs


Predicted Values

Graphs


Reach/Catchment Info



Share of Total Phosphorus Load by Source - Original



Share of Total Phosphorus Load by Source - Adjusted



Reach/Catchment Info

Model Source Inputs

Predicted Values

Graphs

Current Mapped Value: 7.14 kg•km⁻²•yr⁻¹ of Phosphorus (Incremental Yield)

Predicted Values (<u>Data Series</u>)					
Source A	Original (Phosphorus kg∙year ⁻¹)	% of Load (Orig.)	Adjusted (Phosphorus kg∙year ⁻¹)	% of Load(Adj.)	% Change
∃ Total Load					
Wastewater Treatment Plants Total L	115,077	4.8	115,077	6.2	0
Manure (total) Total Load	573,253	23.8	286,627	15.3	-50
Fertilizers (farm) Total Load	506,760	21.0	253,380	13.6	-50
Forest and Wetland Areas Total Load	235,110	9.8	235,110	12.6	0
Urban Areas Total Load	290,095	12.0	290,095	15.5	0
Channel Erosion Total Load	687,324	28.5	687,324	36.8	0
Loess Soils Total Load	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Total Load	2,407,620	100.0	1,867,614	100.0	-22
∃ Incremental Load					
Wastewater Treatment Plants Increm	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Manure (total) Incremental Load	1,056	48.7	528	39.8	-50
Fertilizers (farm) Incremental Load	629	29.0	314	23.7	-50
Forest and Wetland Areas Increment	50	2.3	50	3.7	0
Urban Areas Incremental Load	434	20.0	434	32.7	0
Channel Erosion Incremental Load	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Loess Soils Incremental Load	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Incremental Load	2,167	100.0	1,325	100.0	-39

References:

Robertson, D.M., Saad, D.A., Schwarz, G.E., 2014, Spatial Variability in Nutrient Transport by HUC8, State, and Subbasin Based on Mississippi/Atchafalaya River Basin SPARROW Models: Journal of the American Water Resources Association.

Robertson, D.M. and Saad, D.A., 2013, SPARROW models used to understand nutrient sources in the Mississippi/Atchafalaya River Basin: Journal of Environmental Quality. v. 42, no. 5, p. 1422-1440, DOI: 10.2134/jeq2013.02.0066.

Robertson, D.M. and D.A. Saad, 2011. Nutrient Inputs to the Laurentian Great Lakes by Source and Watershed Estimated Using SPARROW Watershed Models. Journal of the American Water Resources Association. v. 47, p. 1011-1033, DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00574.x.

Booth, N.L., E.J. Everman, I.-L. Kuo, L. Sprague, and L. Murphy, 2011. A Web-Based Decision Support System for Assessing Regional Water-Quality Conditions and Management Actions. Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 47, p. 1136-1150.

Saad, D.A., G.E. Schwarz, D.M. Robertson, and N.L. Booth, 2011. A Multi-Agency Nutrient Dataset Used to Estimate Loads, Improve Monitoring Design, and Calibrate Regional Nutrient SPARROW Models. Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 47, p. 933-949, DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00575.x

Robertson, D.M., Schwarz, G.E., Saad, D.A., and Alexander, R.B., 2009, Incorporating uncertainty into the ranking of SPARROW model nutrient yields from Mississippi/Atchafalaya River basin watersheds. Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 45, n. 2, p. 534-549.

Alexander, R.B., Smith, R.A., Schwarz, G.E., Boyer, E.W., Nolan, J.V., and Brakebill, J.W., 2008, Differences in phosphorus and nitrogen delivery to the Gulf of Mexico from the Mississippi River Basin. Environmental Science and Techology, v. 42, n. 3, p. 822-830.